

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,516	06/07/2000	HEINZ REDL	BHV-317.01	5257
44444	7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER	
BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION ONE BAXTER PARKWAY			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
DF2-2E	KIAKKWAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DEERFIELD	DEERFIELD, IL 60015		1654	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
ų.	09/486,516	REDL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>	Michael V. Meller	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 November 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29,33,36-42,51,54-60 and 70-73</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29,33,36-42,51,54-60 and 70-73</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not received	d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/486,516

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The election of species of record is maintained for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 29, 33, 36-42, 51, 54-60 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammarstrom et al. or Wadstrom in view of Robertson et al. or WO 92/22309 and further in view of Akinson et al.

Applicant argues that the references do not teach using the components for the same purpose but this is simply false. It is clear from the references themselves that they are all used for wound healing. Further applicant argues that the elastase inhibitor is not taught in Hammarstrom or Wadstrom. This is why the rejection under 35 USC 103.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

Application/Control Number: 09/486,516

Art Unit: 1654

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

The references teach that the components of the claimed composition are known individually in the art for the same purpose, namely, wound healing. Since this is true, the rejection is maintained.

Applicants argue that the term wound healing is a genus and that there are many different types of wound healing. The references each individually in the art show that the components are each individually known in the art for wound healing. Applicants comments stating that different types of wound healing are taking place in the references is not understood. The references each teach using the components for the same purpose, namely wound healing. Wound healing is wound healing. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the components together since they are known individually in the art for the same purpose, namely, wound healing.

Applicant next argues that there are unexpected results. After close inspection of the Examples and Figures it is clear that there simply are no unexpected results.

Applicant directs the examiner to figures 3 and 4 and nowhere in these figures can such unexpected results be found. In fact, the best results seem to be when eglin is not used.

Applicant again argues that there are unexpected results and points to Figures 1 and 2 this time. The bar graphs referred to all have the same or similar values of the combination of eglin or aprotinin with fibrin adhesive (FA) and without eglin or aprotinin. While it is not clear what the fibrin adhesive is, it is not the same as fibrinogen which is

Application/Control Number: 09/486,516

Art Unit: 1654

in the claims. Thus, there are no unexpected results. The results yielded from using eglin and FA or just FA alone, do not yield any surprising or unexpected results.

Applicant still tries to state that the art is non-analogous but the fact of the matter is that the art is clear on the common use of the individual components in the art that they can be used individually to treat wounds.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 1654

0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM